

# Non-Examination Assessment Policy

2022/23

This plan is reviewed annually to ensure compliance with current regulations

## **Approved by**

Name: Ms S Dangerfield

Title: Head of Centre 18324

Date of next review

09/11/23

# Key staff involved in the conduct of non-examination assessments

Role	Name(s)
Head of centre	Samantha Dangerfield
Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier	Samantha Dangerfield
Exams officer & Senior Leader	Karla King
ALS lead/SENCo & Senior Leader	Jo Paffett
Senior Leader(s)	Sian Jones & Mark Pinsent

### **Contents**

Key staff involved in the policy	1
What does this policy affect?	4
Purpose of the policy	4
What are non-examination assessments?	4
Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsil	oilities 4
The basic principles	4
Task setting	5
Issuing of tasks	5
Task taking	6
Supervision	6
Advice and feedback	6
Resources	6
Word and time limits	6
Collaboration and group work	7
Authentication procedures	7
Presentation of work	7
Keeping materials secure	7
Task marking – externally assessed components	8
Conduct of externally assessed work	8
Task marking – internally assessed components	9
Marking and annotation	9
Internal standardisation	9
Submission of marks and work for moderation	10
Storage and retention of work after submission of marks	10
External moderation – the process	11
External moderation – feedback	11
Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments	11
Special consideration and loss of work	11
Malpractice	12
Post-results services	12
Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England	13
Private candidates	13
Management of issues and notantial risks associated with non-examination assessments	1/

#### What does this policy affect?

This policy affects the delivery of subjects of GCE and GCSE qualifications which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment.

The regulator's definition of an examination is very narrow. In effect, any type of assessment that is not

- set by an awarding body
- designed to be taken simultaneously by all relevant candidates at a time determined by the awarding body, and
- taken under conditions specified by the awarding body (including conditions relating to the supervision of candidates during the assessment and the duration of the assessment)

'NEA' therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as 'NEA'.

(JCQ Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments, Foreword)

This publication is further referred to in this policy as NEA

#### Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy, as defined by JCQ, is to:

- cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments
- define staff roles and responsibilities for non-examination assessments
- manage risks associated with non-examination assessments

The policy will need to cover all types of non-examination assessment. (NEA 1)

#### What are non-examination assessments?

Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- task setting;
- task taking;
- task marking. (NEA 1)

# Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities

#### The basic principles

#### **Head of centre**

- Returns a declaration (managed as part of. the National Centre Number Register annual update) to confirm awareness of, and that relevant centre staff are adhering to, the latest version of NEA
- Ensures the centre's Non-examination Assessment Policy is fit for purpose and covers all types of nonexamination assessment
- Ensures the centre's Internal Appeals Procedure clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks) and requesting a review of the centre's marking

#### **Senior leaders**

 Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements) which comply with NEA and awarding body subject-specific instructions • Ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year

#### Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- Confirms with subject heads that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for non-examination assessments (including endorsements) are used by teachers and candidates
- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

#### **Subject Coordinators**

- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the non-examination assessment process
- Ensures NEA and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements)
- Works with the QA lead/Lead internal verifier to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers

#### Subject teacher

- Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed in NEA
- Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies with the awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments, including any subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's website
- Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body
- Ensures the exams officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether the entry for the
  internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code for the qualification or is made as a
  separate unit entry code) to the internal deadline for entries

#### **Exams officer**

- Signposts the annually updated JCQ publication NEA to relevant centre staff
- Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment

#### **Task setting**

#### Subject teacher

- Selects tasks to be undertaken where a number of comparable tasks are provided by the awarding body
   OR designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification
- Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work

#### **Issuing of tasks**

#### Subject teacher

- Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body
- Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates
- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials
  are stored securely at all times

Ensures the correct task is issued to candidates

#### Task taking

#### **Supervision**

#### Subject teacher

- Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- Is confident where work may be completed outside of the centre without direct supervision, that the work produced is the candidate's own
- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution and it must be possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures candidates are aware of the current JCQ documents Information for candidates nonexamination assessments and Information for candidates - Social Media
- Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ documents Information for candidates documents

#### **Advice and feedback**

#### Subject teacher

- As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin
  working on a task
- Will not provide candidates with model answers or writing frames specific to the task
- When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- Allows candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner
- Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

#### **Resources**

#### Subject teacher

- Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources when planning and researching their tasks
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to keep the work to be assessed, and any preparatory work, secure between any formally supervised sessions, including work that is stored electronically
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce improved augmented notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

#### Word and time limits

#### Subject teacher

 Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory

#### **Collaboration and group work**

#### Subject teacher

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- Assesses the work of each candidate individually

#### **Authentication procedures**

#### Subject teacher

- Where required by the awarding body's specification:
  - ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
  - o signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for requesting reviews of results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later
- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector (Electronic signatures are acceptable)
- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in NEA and informs a member of the senior leadership team
- Understands that if, during the external moderation process, it is found that the work has not been properly authenticated, the awarding body will set the mark(s) awarded by the centre to zero

#### **Presentation of work**

#### Subject teacher

- Obtains informed consent at the beginning of the course from parents/carers if videos or photographs/images of candidates will be included as evidence of participation or contribution
- Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in NEA unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work
- Ensures if candidates' work is to be submitted electronically, that it meets the awarding body's specified requirements

#### **Keeping materials secure**

#### Subject teacher

- When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- Follows secure storage instructions as defined in NEA 4.8
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely
  until all possible post-results services have been exhausted
- If post-results services have not been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) after the deadline for requesting a review of results for the relevant series
- If post-results services have been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) once the review of results and any subsequent appeal has been completed

- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or
  partially completed work on-line, on social media or through any other means (Reminds candidates of
  the contents of the JCQ document Information for candidates Social Media)
- Where work is stored electronically, liaises with the IT Manager to ensure the protection and back-up of candidates' work and that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access to it between sessions
- Understands that during the period from the submission of work for formal assessment until the
  deadline for requesting a review of results, copies of work may be used for other purposes, provided
  that the originals are stored securely as required

#### **IT Manager**

- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically
- Restricts access to this material and utilises appropriate security safeguards such as firewall protection and virus scanning software
- Employs an effective back-up strategy so that an up to date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained
- Considers encrypting any sensitive digital media to ensure the security of the data stored within it and refers to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable

#### Task marking – externally assessed components

#### Conduct of externally assessed work

#### Subject teacher

- Liaises with the exams officer regarding the arrangements for any externally assessed components of a specification which must be conducted within a window of dates specified by the awarding body and where applicable, according to JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component

#### **Exams officer**

- Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where and if this is applicable to any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body and where applicable, according to JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations

#### **Submission of work**

#### Subject teacher

Pays close attention to the completion of the attendance register, if applicable

#### **Exams officer**

- Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher
- Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly
- Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner or uploaded electronically, ensures this is completed by the date specified by the awarding body
- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for reviews of results for the exam series
- Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- Ensures that the package in which the work is despatched is robust and securely fastened
- Despatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline

#### Task marking – internally assessed components

#### Marking and annotation

#### **Head of centre**

- Makes every effort to avoid situations where a candidate is assessed by a person who has a close personal
  relationship with the candidate, for example, members of their family (which includes step-family, foster
  family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g son/daughter)
- Where this cannot be avoided, ensures the possible conflict of interest is declared to the relevant awarding body and the marked work is submitted for moderation whether or not it is part of the moderation sample

#### **Subject Coordinators**

• Sets timescales for teachers to inform candidates of their centre-assessed marks that will allow sufficient time for a candidate to appeal an internal assessment decision/request a review of the centre's marking prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body external deadline

#### Subject teacher

- Accesses awarding body training/updates as required to ensure familiarity with the mark scheme/marking process
- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process
- Ensures candidates are informed of the timescale set by the subject lead or as indicated in the centre's *internal appeals procedure* to enable an internal appeal/request for a review of marking to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding body

#### **Internal standardisation**

#### Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence
- Supports staff not familiar with the mark scheme (e.g. NQTs, supply staff etc.)
- Ensures accurate internal standardisation for example by:
  - o obtaining reference materials at an early stage in the course
  - o holding a preliminary trial marking session prior to marking
  - o carrying out further trial marking at appropriate points during the marking period
  - o after most marking has been completed, holds a further meeting to make final adjustments
  - making final adjustments to marks prior to submission, retaining work and evidence of standardisation
- Retains evidence that internal standardisation has been carried out

#### Subject teacher

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- Marks to common standards
- Keeps candidates work secure until after the closing date for review of results for the series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

#### Submission of marks and work for moderation

#### Subject teacher

- Inputs and submits marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks awarded, to the external deadline/Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body moderator by the external deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Ensures that where a candidate's work has been facilitated by a scribe or practical assistant, the relevant completed cover sheet is securely attached to the front of the work and sent to the moderator in addition to the sample requested
- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- Submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body/Provides the exams officer with any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

#### **Exams officer**

- Inputs and submits marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the
  marks submitted, to the external deadline/Confirms with subject teachers that marks have been
  submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Confirms with Subject teacher that the moderation sample has been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Ensures that for postal moderation:
  - work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
  - moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
  - o proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results
- Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- Through the subject teacher, submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

#### Storage and retention of work after submission of marks

#### Subject teacher

- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions for the required retention period
- In liaison with the IT Manager, takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place
- If retention is a problem because of the nature of the work, retains some form of evidence such as photos, audio or media recordings

#### **Exams officer**

• Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention

#### External moderation – the process

#### Subject teacher

- Ensures that awarding body or its moderator receive the correct samples of candidates' work
- Where relevant, liaises with the awarding body/moderator where the moderator visits the centre to mark the sample of work
- Complies with any request from the moderator for remaining work or further evidence of the centre's marking

#### External moderation - feedback

#### **Subject Coordinators**

- Checks the final moderated marks when issued to the centre when the results are published
- Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next exam series

#### **Exams officer**

- Accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

#### Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments

#### Subject teacher

 Works with the ALS lead/SENCo and Exams Officer to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments

#### Additional Learning Support (ALS lead)/Special educational needs coordinator (SENCo)

- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments in relation to non-examination assessments including Reasonable Adjustments for GCE Alevel sciences – Endorsement of practical skills
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal
  way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where
  required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place
- Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role

#### Special consideration and loss of work

#### Subject teacher

- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate is absent and/or produces a reduced quantity of work
- Liaises with the exams officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments
- Liaises with the exams officer to report loss of work to the awarding body

#### **Exams officer**

- Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process
  - Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale

- Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale
- Keeps required evidence on file to support the application
- Refers to/directs relevant staff where applicable to Form 15 JCQ/LCW and where applicable submits to the relevant awarding body

#### **Malpractice**

#### Head of centre

- Understands the responsibility to immediately report to the relevant awarding body any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates, teachers, invigilators or other administrative staff or centre staff
- Ensures any irregularity identified by the centre before the candidate has signed the authentication statement (where required) are dealt with under its own internal procedures, with no requirement to report the irregularity to the awarding body (The only exception being where the awarding body's confidential assessment materials has been breached, the breach must be report to the awarding body)
- Is familiar with the JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures
- Ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates producing non-examination assessment are aware of the potential for malpractice and ensures that teaching staff are reminded that failure to report allegations of malpractice or suspected malpractice constitutes malpractice in itself

#### Subject teacher

- Is aware of the JCQ Notice to Centres Sharing NEA material and candidates' work to mitigate against candidate and centre malpractice
- Ensures candidates understand what constitutes malpractice in non-examination assessments
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document Information for candidates non-examination assessments
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document Information for candidates Social Media
- Escalates and reports any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates to the head of centre

#### **Exams officer**

- Signposts the JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures to the head of centre
- Signposts the JCQ Notice to Centres Sharing NEA material and candidates' work to subject heads
- Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates documents
- Where required, supports the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of alleged, suspected or actual malpractice

#### **Post-results services**

#### Head of centre

- Is familiar with the JCQ publication Post-Results Services
- Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedure clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support a review of results or an appeal

#### **Subject Coordinators**

Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about reviews of results

#### Subject teacher

Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available

• Provides the exams officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for a review of moderation to the internal deadline

#### **Exams officer**

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication Post-Results Services (Information and guidance to centres...)
- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline

#### Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England

#### **Head of centre**

Returns an online 'Head of Centre declaration' at the time of the National Centre Number Register annual
update, confirming that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at
the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language endorsement

#### Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments

#### **Subject Coordinator**

- Confirms understanding of the Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications
  designed for use in England and ensures any relevant JCQ/awarding body instructions are followed
- Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers
- Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided

#### Subject teacher

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions
- Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (Pass, Merit, Distinction or Not Classified) and the storage and submission of recordings

#### **Exams officer**

Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and recordings

#### **Private candidates**

#### **Subject Coordinators**

- According to centre policy, confirms if private candidates (including distance learners and home educated candidates) are accepted by the centre for entry for subjects containing components of non-examination assessment (where the specification may be made available to private candidates by the awarding body)
- Ensures relevant staff in the centre administer all aspects of the non-examination assessment process for a private candidate, according to the awarding body's specification

# Qualification/Subject specific additional information

This section provides additional information/procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments in specific subjects of qualifications.

#### GCE/GCSE Art & Design

JCQ's Instructions for conducting examinations are followed for the conduct of externally set components

#### Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments

Key: Exams Officer (EO), Head of Centre (HOC), Subject Coordinator (SC), Subject Teacher (ST)

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Centre staff malpractice	Records confirm that relevant centre staff are familiar with and follow:  • the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments  • the JCQ document Notice to Centres - Sharing NEA material and candidates' work - www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/non-examination-assessments	EO
Candidate malpractice	Records confirm that candidates are informed and understand they must not: <ul> <li>submit work which is not their own</li> <li>make available their work to other candidates through any medium</li> <li>allow other candidates to have access to their own independently sourced material</li> <li>assist other candidates to produce work</li> <li>use books, the internet or other sources without acknowledgement or attribution</li> <li>submit work that has been word processed by a third party without acknowledgement</li> <li>include inappropriate, offensive or obscene material</li> </ul> <li>Records confirm that candidates have been made aware of the JCQ documents Information for candidates - non-examination assessments and Information for candidates - Social Media - www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/information-for-candidates-documents and understand they must not post their work on social media</li>	ЕО/НОС
	Task setting	<u>'</u>
Awarding body set task: IT failure/corruption of task details where set task details accessed from the awarding body online	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task noted prior to start of course IT systems checked prior to key date Alternative IT system used to gain access Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details	EO/IT Manager
Centre set task: Subject teacher fails to meet the assessment criteria as detailed in the specification	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training information, practice materials etc. Records confirmation that subject teachers understand the task setting arrangements as defined in the awarding body's specification Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task	SC/HOC
Candidates do not understand the marking criteria and what they need to do to gain credit	A simplified version of the awarding body's marking criteria described in the specification that is not specific to the work of an	SC/ST

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
	individual candidate or group of candidates is produced for	
	candidates	
	Records confirm all candidates understand the marking criteria	
C. Einelden der der der der	Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria	66/1106/
Subject teacher long term	See centre's Exam Contingency Plan – (Teaching staff extended	SC/HOC/
absence during the task setting	absence at key points in the exam cycle)	EO
stage	Issuing of tasks	
	issuing of custo	
Awarding body set task not	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the	
issued to candidates on time	specification noted prior to start of course	
	Course information issued to candidates contains details when set	SC/ST/
	task will be issued and needs to be completed by	HOC
	Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning,	
	resourcing and teaching	
The wrong task is given to	Ensures course planning and information taken from the	
candidates	awarding body's specification confirms the correct task will be	SC/ST/EO
	issued to candidates	
	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	
Subject teacher long term	See centre's Exam Contingency Plan – (Teaching staff extended	SC/HOC/
absence during the issuing of	absence at key points in the exam cycle)	EO
tasks stage	absence at key points in the exam eyeley	
A candidate (or parent/carer)	Ensures the candidate's presentation does not form part of the	HOC
expresses concern about	sample which will be recorded	
safeguarding, confidentiality or	Contacts the awarding body at the earliest opportunity where	
faith in undertaking a task such	unable to record the required number of candidates for the	
as a presentation that may be	monitoring sample	
recorded	,	
• • •	Task taking	
Supervision  Planned assessments clash with	Assessment plan identified for the start of the source	CC/CT
Planned assessments clash with other candidate activities	Assessment plan identified for the start of the course	SC/ST
Rooms or facilities inadequate	Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar	
for candidates to take tasks	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course	EO/IT
under appropriate supervision	Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for	Manager
under appropriate supervision	number of candidates	Ivialiagei
	Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at	
	the same time (exam conditions do not apply)	
Insufficient supervision of	Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ	SC/HOC/
candidates to enable work to be	publication Instructions for conducting non-examination	EO
authenticated	assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the	
	awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of	
	candidates	
	Confirm subject teachers understand their role and	
	responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination	
	assessment policy	
A candidate is suspected of	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication	HOC/EO
malpractice prior to submitting	Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section	
their work for assessment	9 Malpractice) are followed	
	An internal investigation and where appropriate internal	
	disciplinary procedures are followed	CEN/22 '
Access arrangements were not	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to	SENCO/
put in place for an assessment	the special consideration process (section 2), to determine the	EO

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
where a candidate is approved	process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the	
for arrangements	candidate	
Advice and feedback		
Candidate claims appropriate advice and feedback not given by subject teacher prior to starting on their work	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all information provided to candidates before work begins as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to	SC/HOC
	starting on their work	
Candidate claims no advice and feedback given by subject teacher during the task-taking stage	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures  Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity  Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component  Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage	HOC/ST
A third party claims that	An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are	
assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specification	interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body	HOC/EO
Candidate does not reference	Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information	SC/ST/EO
information from published source	before work is submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	
Candidate does not set out references as required	Candidate is advised at a general level to review and re-draft the set out of references before work is submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	SC/ST/EO
Candidate joins the course late after formally supervised task taking has started	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to catch up	SC/ST
Candidate moves to another centre during the course	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can be done depending on the stage at which the move takes place	EO
An excluded pupil wants to complete a non-examination assessment(s)	The awarding body specification is checked to determine if the specification is available to a candidate outside mainstream education  If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication and marking are made separately for the candidate	HOC/EO

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Resources		
A candidate augments notes	Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in	ST
and resources between formally	and kept secure between formally supervised sessions	
supervised sessions	Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected	
	in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions	
	Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for	
	candidates is restricted between formally supervised sessions	
A candidate fails to	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning,	
acknowledge sources on work	resources etc. is checked to confirm all the sources used, including	
that is submitted for	books, websites and audio/visual resources	ST/SC/EO
assessment	Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the	
	candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records	
	acknowledges sources appropriately	
	Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records,	
	awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is	
	submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	
Word and time limits	<b>3,</b> ,	
A candidate is penalised by the	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been	ST/SC
awarding body for exceeding	checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory	3.,30
word or time limits	Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged	
word or time innes	from exceeding them	
	Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on	
	word or time limits is known and understood	
Collaboration and group work	word of time limits is known and understood	
Candidates have worked in	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been	
groups where the awarding	checked to determine if group work is permitted	SC/EO
body specification states this is	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains	30/20
not permitted	unresolved	
Authentication procedures	uniesolveu	
A teacher has doubts about the	Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ	EO/SC/
authenticity of the work	document Notice to Centres - Sharing NEA material and	HOC
submitted by a candidate for	candidates' work	noc
internal assessment	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the	
internal assessment	current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-	
Candidate plagiarises other	examination assessments	
material	Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need	
illatellal		
	to do to comply with the regulations for non-examination	
	assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments	
	The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment	
Candidata dans not sign their	A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body	FO/ST/SC
Candidate does not sign their	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the	EO/ST/SC
authentication	current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-	
statement/declaration	examination assessments	
	Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do	
	to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document	
	Information for candidates: non-examination assessments	
	Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of	
Colstant transfer and the Colstant transfer and transfer a	a candidate for formal assessment	56/1106
Subject teacher not available to	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to	SC/HOC
sign authentication forms	sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates	
Dungantation of word	work as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	
Presentation of work	Cover the et is the cheek to the cover it is fully a second to the first	CT/CC/FC
Candidate does not fully	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before	ST/SC/EO
complete the awarding body's	accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
cover sheet that is attached to		
their worked submitted for		
formal assessment		
Keeping materials secure Candidates work between	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current	EO/SC/
formal supervised sessions is	JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination	HOC
not securely stored	assessments	1100
,	Regular monitoring/internal audit ensures subject teacher use of	
	appropriate secure storage	
Adequate secure storage not	Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to	EO
available to subject teacher	subject teacher prior to the start of the course	
	Alternative secure storage sourced where required	
Candidates work produced	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current	IT
electronically is not securely	JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination	Manager
stored	assessments	
	Internal processes and regular monitoring/internal audit by IT	
	Manager ensures:	
	<ul> <li>access to this material is restricted through our secure server</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>appropriate security safeguards are in place (Google</li> </ul>	
	security filter, ESET Virus and Threat Protection Security	
	turn on all devices, Office 365 Data Protection	
	monitoring)	
	An effective back-up strategy is employed so that an up to date	
	archive of candidates' evidence is maintained:	
	<ul> <li>External support via Net-Central and c-learning support,</li> </ul>	
	servers are backed daily	
	Office 365 does a back of all files, 90-day retention on	
	deleted files, every time a file is opened a new version is	
	created, this can be done 500 times per file.  Any sensitive digital media is encrypted (according to awarding	
	body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is	
	suitable) to ensure the security of the data stored within it:	
	All sensitive data is encrypted with password protection	
	enabled, Office 365 and Google Drive will also detect	
	sensitive data if sent via any internally or externally.	
	Task marking – externally assessed components	1
A candidate is absent on the	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative	EO
day of the examiner visit for an	assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate	
acceptable reason	If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a	
A candidate is absent on the	request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate  The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register.	EO
day of the examiner visit for an	The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register	SC/ST
unacceptable reason		30/31
	Task marking – internally assessed components	
A candidate submits little or no	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as	ST/SC
work	absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body	НОС
	Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is	
	assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated	
	appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the	
	assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding	
	body	

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
A candidate is unable to finish	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to	SC/ST/EO
their work for unforeseen	the special consideration process (section 5), to determine	
reason	eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work	
The work of a candidate is lost	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication Instructions	SC/ST/EO
or damaged	for conducting non-examination assessments (section 8), to	to
	determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or	complete
	damaged work	lost CW
		form
Candidate malpractice is	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication	HOC/EO
discovered	Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section	
	9 Malpractice) are followed	
	Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ	
	publication Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures are	
	followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed	
A teacher assesses the work of	A possible conflict of interest is declared by informing the	ST/SC/EO
a candidate with whom they	awarding body before the published deadline for entries for each	to send
have a close personal	examination series	conflict
relationship e.g. members of	Marked work of said candidate is submitted for moderation	of
their family (which includes	whether part of the sample requested or not	interest
step-family, foster family and		to
similar close relationships) or		Awarding
close friends and their		body
immediate family (e.g.		,
son/daughter)		
An extension to the deadline for	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be	EO
submission of marks is required	granted	
for a legitimate reason	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to	
	the special consideration process (section 5), to determine	
	eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination	
	assessment extension	
After submission of marks, it is	Awarding body is contacted for guidance	EO
discovered that the wrong task	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to	
was given to candidates	the special consideration process (section 2), to determine	
	eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special	
A soundidate wishes to	consideration for candidates	CT
A candidate wishes to	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded	ST
appeal/request a review of the marks awarded for their work	for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body	
by their teacher	Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks	ST
by their teacher	Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change	31
	through the awarding body's moderation process	
	Candidates are informed of their marks to the timescale identified	
	in the centre's internal appeals procedure and prior to the	
	internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of	ST
	marks	
	Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made	EO
	aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale	
	for submitting an appeal/request for a review of the centre's	
	marking prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body	
Deadline for submitting work	Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at	ST/SC
for formal assessment not met	the start of the course	
by candidate	Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood	
	Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought	EO
	to determine if the work can be accepted late for marking	

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
	providing the awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can be met	
	Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will be accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the	EO/ Awarding
	awarding body for the candidate	Body
Deadline for submitting marks and samples of candidates work ignored by subject teacher	Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each academic year Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject coordinators as deadlines approach Records confirm deadlines known and understood by subject teachers Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed	SC/EO
		HOC
Subject teacher long term absence during the marking period	See centre's Exam Contingency Plan (Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle)	HOC/SC